

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/522,319	03/09/2000	Alando M Ballantyne	50-00-002	2463	
75	90 07/16/2002				
ROBERT W. HOLLAND			EXAMINER		
	ENUE, SUITE 600		KENDALL,	KENDALL, CHUCK O	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2122		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/522,319	BALLANTYNE ET AL.7				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuck O Kendall	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the index the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	4: o.m (a) .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	lion(s)					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 6,7 and 12.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,8,11 and 13-15.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	√	Lacer : Una:				
		KAKALI CHAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicants Argument, in Applicants response of 6/19/02, prior art shows as understood by the examiner (using the broadest reasonable interrpetation of the claimed language) Identifying incidents of applications that outputs data. Kelliher shows a control flow analyzer which identifies legacy fiels (incidents of the application, 5:57-60). Kelliher also shows using this key information by the Control flow analyzer to to produce control flow information used in the output (6:1-15). And as mentioned in previous rejection Kelliher also has an Output Generator, which works together with the key fields to produce output. Therefore, by virtue of applicants language as cited in claims, Prior Art does provid and show limitations as cited.

Contrary to Applicants argument that Prior, Art does not show control flow graph of the output incident, Prior art does show this Limitation. In 6:1-15 Kelliher, shows a Control Flow analyzer that produces Control flow information, describing derived control information(output incidents) as an output. Claim 16 is in condition for allowance.